

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/977,052

Г

11/25/97

ANDRIEU

X

048537

IM22/1010

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON DC 20037-3213 EXAMINER

MAPLES, J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1745

DATE MAILED:

10/10/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s) 68/977 052 Amilieu Et AL
Office Action Summary	
	TOW S. MAY US 1745
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	D EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DAT
from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/2//	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 21 - 43	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
R Claim(s) 24-26, 28-32, 40-43	ie/are allowed.
2 Claim(s) 22, 23, 27, 33-39	ie/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election
	roquiroment
	requirement.
☐ Claim(s)—	
☐ Claim(s)Application Papers	g Review, PTO-948.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	g Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	g Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	g Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	g Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	g Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. red to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. red to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ded to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been er) mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ded to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been er) mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. red to by the Examiner. Ider 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). The priority documents have been Per Imational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. red to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been er) mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). o(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	g Review, PTO-948. isapproveddisapproved. ded to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been er) mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). o(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Application/Control Number: 08/977,052 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

1. Claims 27 and 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of claims 27, 33, 34, 35, 38 and 39, the Markush terminology requires revision so that the same reads --selected from the group-- (underlining added). Also, in cliam 35, the words --the group consisting of-- should be placed after "from" in line 4 of claim 35 to render the same complete.

Claims 36 and 37, dependent on claims 33 and 36, respectively, fall therewith.

In lines 3-4 of claim 33, the expression "a polyolefin such as polyethylene (PE)" is indefinite because it is not known whether or not this is the only polyolefin desired or whether others are included.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dasgupta et al. USP 5,437,692 (Dasgupta)

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/977,052

Art Unit: 1745

Reference is made to the Abstract of Dasgupta along with column 5, lilne 39 through column 6, line 32; column 8, line 63 through column 9, line 8 and Example 2.

Applicant's arguments have all been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the claimed invention involves a process where the two electrodes are in mating contact with one another. This is not possible because the same would not function as a battery but would instead short out.

A further argument by applicant is that in Dasgupta, the same does not teach applying an adhesive onto a face of one of the electrodes and subsequently bringing the free faces of the electrodes in contact with one another. The examiner respectfully disagrees. See column 8, line 63 through column 9, line 8 of Dasgupta for the disclosure of these process steps.

Applicant continues to assert that Dasgupta does not disclose joining the two electrodes directly together. Again, this is not possible because the resultant product would not produce electricity. In Dasgupta, an electrolyte is present on at least one of the electrodes so that when the other electrode is brought in contact therewith, a complete working battery results. This language and disclosure of Dasgupta anticipates the claimed subject matter.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is (703) 308-

Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

1795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's temporary supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached on (703) 305-3777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

JSM/October 10, 2000

JOHN S. MAPLES
PRIMERY COMMER
GEOUP 1745